#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT		То:	
NOTIFICATION CONCER TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INT PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PAT (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT CO TREATY) (PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))	NING ERNATION SE ENTABILITY OPERATION	MAN, Jocelyn Terlings Park Eastwick Road Harlow Essex C P20129 ROYAUME-UN	OPEAN PATENTS EPARTMENT
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 27 October 2005 (27.10.2005)	18.		-5 Kill 2000
Applicant's or agent's file reference			IMPORTANT NOTICE
		tte (day/month/year) 14 (02.04.2004)	Priority date (thry/mansh/sear) 04 April 2003 (04.04.2003)
Applicant ISTITUTO DI RICE	ERCHE DI BIOLOGIA	MOLECOLARE P ANG	GELETTI SPA et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Dorothée Mülhausen

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 87 40

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ITT0055	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/001437	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 April 2004 (02.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04 April 2003 (04.04.2003) ]		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  O7D 487/10, 401/14, 417/12, 401/12, 487/04, 403/10, 413/12, 407/04, 409/14, A61K 31/404, A61P 31/14, C07D 413/04, 471/04				
Applicant ISTITUTO DI RICERCHE DI BIOLOGIA MOLECOLARE P ANGELETTI SPA				

1.	. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	tion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application	
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			
		-		
			Date of issuance of this report 14 October 2005 (14.10.2005)	
	The International Bure		Authorized officer	
	34, chemin des Col 1211 Geneva 20, Sv		Dorothée Mülhausen	

Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING ALITH	ORITY		
To:				PC LEC'D 2 2 JUL 2004
				WIPO PO
see form PCT/ISA/220			INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	o form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file see form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below	
International application N PCT/GB2004/001437		International filing date (c 02.04.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.04.2003
International Patent Class C07D487/10, C07D4	ification (IPC) or I 01/14, C07D4	both national classification 17/12, C07D401/12, C	end IPC 07D487/04, C07D4	03/10, C07D413/12, C07D407/04,
Applicant		OGIA MOLECOLARE		,
1. This opinion cor	ntains indicatio	ons relating to the folio	owing items:	
_			•	
<b>.</b>	Basis of the op	Inion		
_	Priority	ant of only on the second		
			ra to noverty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability
☑ Box No. V	_			novelty, inventive step or industrial
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international appl	ication	
Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internations	al application	
2. FURTHER ACTIO	N			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			owever, this does not apply where	
Submit to the IPEA	ι a written reply ate of mailing o	together, where approp	riate with amendment	PEA, the applicant is invited to ts, before the expiration of three f 22 months from the priority date,
For further options	, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.		
3. For further details,	see notes to Fe	orm PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address	of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Menegaki, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8277



International application No. PCT/GB2004/001437

_					
_	Bo	X N	lo. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		ıa	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	Wit	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. t	уре	of material:		
			a sequence listing		
	1		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:				
	l	in written format			
		☐ in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
	(		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		CO	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		
4.	Additional comments:				

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001437

Box No. II Priority				
	OX 140. II	Priority		
1. 🛭	The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:		
	☒	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
	Conse neverti	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2. 🗆	has be	oinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.		
3. Ac	ditional c	observations, if necessary:		

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001437

Box No. III Non-establishment of onlinen with regard to nevel to leventhe country.				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,			
×	claims Nos. 6			
be	because:			
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 6 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	see separate sheet			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further of	detail	s	

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001437

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

1-8

1-8

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-5,7,8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001437

(III)

Claim 6 is directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body and therefore no preliminary examination is required (Rule 67.1(iv) PCT).

Moreover, it is noted by the IPEA that for the assessment of Claim 6 on the question whether its subject-matter is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability under national patent laws can also be dependent on the formulation of the claims. The EPO, e.g., does not recognize the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment as being industrially applicable, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

**(V)** 

Having regard to the International Search Report as well as the prior art cited by the Applicant in the description the claimed subject-matter differs from documents (D1) WO 02/057287; (D2) WO 03/010140; (D3) EP-A-1162196; (D4) WO 02/04425; (D5) EPO-A-080154

due to the present 1-alkylcarboxamide substituent, and therefore appears to be novel. (Art.33(2) PCT)

The problem underlying the present invention is considered to be the provision of novel 1-alkylcarboxamide substituted indole derivatives of formula (I)/(Ia) having HCV-inhibiting activity.

Documents (D1) to (D4) disclose, i.a., 2-arylindole compounds as RNA viral polymerase inhibitors, which differ due to the 1-alkylcarboxamide substituent group in the present formulas (I)/(Ia). The present 1-alkylcarboxamide substituent group is considered to be an essential distinguishing feature and therefore, the skilled man faced with the problem underlying the invention would not have expected the present compounds to have similar HCV viral polymerase inhibiting activity.

Therefore, an inventive step can be acknowledged, (Art.33(3) PCT), provided that all claimed compounds possess the claimed properties. In this connection reference is made to the fact that the compounds prepared and thested by the Applicant bear "A1"=cyclohexyl; n=2 (see also title of the application, referring to "indole acetamides").

Moreover, it is noted by the IPEA that the definition of process Claim 8 does not define any reactants, products or reaction steps and is considered to be unclear.